

Define Normal Subgroup of a group. 449
 A Subgroup H of a group G is called a normal subgroup if and only if $a h a^{-1} \in H \forall h \in H$ and $\forall a \in G$.

Evidently H is a normal subgroup of G if $a H a^{-1} \subset H$.

* Prove that a Subgroup H of a group G is normal if and only if $a H a^{-1} = H \forall a \in G$.

Proof, Suppose that H is a Subgroup of a group G such that

Now to prove that H is a normal Subgroup of G

Now, $a H a^{-1} = H \forall a \in G$

$\Rightarrow a H a^{-1} \subset H \forall a \in G$

Hence H is a normal subgroup of G .

Converse

Let H be a normal Subgroup of G .

\therefore we have to prove $a H a^{-1} = H \forall a \in G$.

Since H is a normal subgroup of G

$\therefore a H a^{-1} \subseteq H \forall a \in G \dots \textcircled{1}$

$\because a \in G \Rightarrow a^{-1} \in G$

Therefore: we get $a^{-1} H (a^{-1})^{-1} \subseteq H \forall a \in G$ [replacing a by a^{-1} in eqn $\textcircled{1}$]

$\Rightarrow a^{-1} H a \subseteq H \quad \forall a \in G$ 448
 $\Rightarrow a (a^{-1} H a) a^{-1} \subseteq a H a^{-1} \quad \forall a \in G$
 $\Rightarrow (a a^{-1}) H (a a^{-1}) \subseteq a H a^{-1} \quad \forall a \in G$
 $\Rightarrow e H e \subseteq a H a^{-1} \quad \forall a \in G$
 $\Rightarrow (e H) e \subseteq a H a^{-1} \quad \forall a \in G$
 $\Rightarrow H e \subseteq a H a^{-1} \quad \forall a \in G$
 $\Rightarrow H \subseteq a H a^{-1} \quad \forall a \in G \dots \textcircled{2}$
 From $e \in H$ $\textcircled{1}$ $\&$ $\textcircled{2}$ we conclude that

$$a H a^{-1} = H$$

~~Prove~~ Prove that every subgroup of an abelian group is a normal subgroup.

Proof,

Let G be an abelian group and N be a subgroup of G . To prove that N is a normal subgroup.

Since $N \subseteq G$
 So, $n \in N \Rightarrow n \in G$

Again, since G is abelian
 So, $an = na \quad \forall a \in G$ and $\forall n \in N$.

Hence the set $\{an : n \in N\} = \{na : n \in N\} \quad \forall a \in G$

or $aN = Na \quad \forall a \in G$

Hence the subgroup N is a normal subgroup.

RING

449

Define ring →

A Let R be a nonempty set and $a, b, c \in R$ be arbitrary. Then the set R with two binary operations '+' (addition) and '.' (multiplication) is called a ring if the following postulates are satisfied: →

For '+' (addition)

1. closure law: $a, b \in R \Rightarrow a+b \in R$
2. Associative law: $a, b, c \in R \Rightarrow (a+b)+c = a+(b+c)$
3. Commutative law: $a, b \in R \Rightarrow a+b = b+a$
4. Existence of identity element: \exists an element $0 \in R$ (zero element) such that $a+0 = a \forall a \in R$
5. Existence of inverse element: For each element a in R there exists an element x in R such that $a+x = 0$

For '.' (multiplication)

6. closure law: $a, b \in R \Rightarrow a \cdot b \in R$
7. Associative law: $a, b, c \in R \Rightarrow (a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$

For '+' and '.'

8. Distributive law: $a, b, c \in R \Rightarrow a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$
and $(b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$

Ex → (a) The set of integers is a ring with '+' and '.'.
(b) The set $E = \{x \mid x = 2m, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a ring under '+' and '.'.

The above stated definition of Ring is supposed to be less ostensible and implicit definition of ring.

We can also say that \rightarrow

A nonempty set R with two binary operations '+' (addition) and \cdot (multiplication) is said to be a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ if

(i) $(R, +)$ is an abelian group.

(ii) (R, \cdot) is a semigroup.

and (iii) (\cdot) multiplication is distributive over $(+)$ addition.

\star Define commutative ring with examples.

A nonempty set R with two binary operations addition $(+)$ and multiplication (\cdot) is called a commutative ring if the following postulates are satisfied:—

- (i) $a + b \in R \forall a, b \in R$ (closure law)
- (ii) $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c) \forall a, b, c \in R$ (Associative law)
- (iii) R has a 0 zero element as an identity element
 i.e. $a + 0 = 0 + a = a \forall a \in R$ (identity law for addition)
- (iv) For every $a \in R \exists$ an element $x \in R$ such that
 $a + x = x + a = 0$ (additive inverse)

- (V) $a + b = b + a \quad \forall a, b \in R$ (Commutative Law)
- (VI) $a \cdot b \in R \quad \forall a, b \in R$ (Closure Law)
- (VII) $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c) \quad \forall a, b, c \in R$ (Associative Law for multiplication)
- (VIII) $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$
 $(b + c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a \quad \forall a, b, c \in R$ (Distributive Laws)
- (IX) $a \cdot b = b \cdot a \quad \forall a, b \in R$ (Commutative Law)

Examples

- (1) The set of even integers is a commutative ring under ordinary addition and multiplication without unity.
- (2) The set of real numbers is a commutative ring under ordinary addition and multiplication.

★ Define ring with unity.

~~At first we will define ring then~~
 A ring R with multiplication unit element (identity element) 1 's called a ring with unity or ring with identity element. Such that

$$a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a \quad \forall a \in R.$$

Examples

- (1) The set of integers is a commutative ring with unity element under ordinary addition and multiplication.
- (2) The set of real numbers is a commutative ring with unity under ordinary addition and multiplication.

★ Define ring without unity 452
 At first we will define ring then
 if the identity element for multiplication
 does not exist in ring R then
 R is called a ring without unity.

Examples

- ① The set of all $n \times n$ matrices of even integral elements is a ring without unity with respect to addition and multiplication operation.
- ② The set of even integers (whether zero, positive or negative) is a ring without unity under ordinary addition and multiplication.

Ring with Zero divisors.

If two non zero elements a and b i.e. ($a \neq 0, b \neq 0$) of a ring R exist in such a way that either
 $ab = 0$ or $ba = 0$

then the ring R is said to be a ring with zero divisors and a, b are called divisors of zero.

Ring without Zero divisors.

A ring R is without zero divisors if the product of no two non zero elements of R is zero, i.e. if $ab = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0, b = 0$ we can say that if a ring R \nexists non zero elements a and b such that $ab = 0$ then R is said to be a ring without zero divisors.

Uniqueness of Unity in a Ring with Unity

453

* Prove that the unity in a ring is unique.

Proof → Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring with unity. To show that unity in the ring R is unique.

Suppose that R is not unique, so R possesses more than one unity element.

Let e and e' be two unity elements in R .

$$e \neq e'$$

$$\text{Now } a \cdot e = e \cdot a = a \quad \forall a \in R \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\text{and } a \cdot e' = e' \cdot a = a \quad \forall a \in R \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\therefore e' \in R, \text{ replacing } a \text{ by } e' \text{ in (1)}$$

$$e e' = e e' = e' \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$\therefore e \in R, \text{ replacing } a \text{ by } e \text{ in (2)}$$

$$e e' = e' e = e \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

so we get $e' = e$
thus we observe that unity in a ring is unique.

* Prove that a ring R is without zero divisor iff the cancellation laws hold in R .

Proof → Let R be a ring without zero divisors under the operation multiplication and addition.

Let $a, b, c \in R$ such that $a \neq 0$, $ab = ac$

$$\therefore \text{We have, } a \cdot b = ac \Rightarrow ab - ac = 0 \Rightarrow a(b - c) = 0$$

Here R is without zero divisors
 so, $a \cdot (b-c) = 0$ and
 $a \neq 0 \Rightarrow b-c = 0$
 i.e. $b = c$

Thus the left cancellation law for multiplication holds in R .

Similarly we can prove that the right cancellation law for multiplication also holds in R .

Now we have to show that R is without zero divisors.

Suppose that cancellation laws hold for multiplication in R .

Let $ab = 0$, $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$

Then we have

$$ab = a0, \text{ since } a0 = 0$$

$$\text{Now } a \neq 0, ab = a0 \Rightarrow b = 0$$

(by left cancellation law)

So this is a contradiction

Hence R is without zero divisors.

* Define g idempotent of an element of a ring.

An element a of a Ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is said to be idempotent if

$$aa = a$$

$$\text{i.e. } \boxed{a^2 = a}$$

Q. If in a ring $x^2 = x \forall x \in R$ 455
 then prove that R is a commutative ring.

Ans) As $x \in R$
 so $-x \in R$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore (-x)^2 &= -x \\ \text{or } (-x)(-x) &= -x \\ \text{or } x x &= -x \end{aligned} \quad \left[\because (-a)(-b) = ab \text{ in a ring (property of ring)} \right]$$

$$\text{or } x^2 = -x \quad \forall x \in R \dots \textcircled{1}$$

But given that $x^2 = x \forall x \in R \dots \textcircled{2}$

From $\textcircled{1} \neq \textcircled{2}$

$$x = -x \quad \therefore ab = -(ab) \dots \textcircled{3}$$

Again let $a, b \in R \Rightarrow a+b \in R$
 $\therefore (a+b)^2 = a+b$ [as $x^2 = x \forall x \in R$]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{or } (a+b)(a+b) &= a+b \\ \text{or } a(a+b) + b(a+b) &= a+b \quad \left[\text{By distributive law of a ring} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{or } a^2 + ab + ba + b^2 &= a+b \\ \text{or } a + ab + ba + b &= a+b \quad \left[\because a^2 = a, b^2 = b \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or } ab + ba = 0 \quad \left[\text{By left and right cancellation laws for addition} \right]$$

So ba is the additive inverse of ab .

$$\therefore -(ab) = ba \dots \textcircled{4}$$

By $\textcircled{3} \neq \textcircled{4}$ we get $ab = ba \forall a, b \in R$

So R is a commutative ring.

★ Prove that a ring R is commutative if and only if (iff) $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$ for all $a, b \in R$ where a^2 we mean $a \cdot a$.

Proof Let R be a commutative ring. Then $a \cdot b = b \cdot a \quad \forall a, b \in R$ (1)

Now $(a+b)^2 = (a+b) \cdot (a+b)$
 $= a \cdot (a+b) + b \cdot (a+b)$ [Distributive law]
 $= a \cdot a + a \cdot b + b \cdot a + b \cdot b$ [Distributive law]
 $= a^2 + a \cdot b + b \cdot a + b^2$ [from (1)]
 $= a^2 + 2ab + b^2$

So $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$ when Ring R is commutative.
 Again Let $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \quad \forall a, b \in R$.
 Now to prove R is commutative we will have to show that $a \cdot b = b \cdot a \quad \forall a, b \in R$... (2)

Here $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$
 $\Rightarrow (a+b)(a+b) = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$ [Distributive law]
 $\Rightarrow a \cdot (a+b) + b \cdot (a+b) = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$ ["]
 $\Rightarrow a \cdot a + a \cdot b + b \cdot a + b \cdot b = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$
 $\Rightarrow a^2 + a \cdot b + b \cdot a + b^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$
 $\Rightarrow b \cdot a = a \cdot b$ [By cancellation laws]

Hence if $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \quad \forall a, b \in R$

then $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$.

So ring R is commutative.

Thus a ring R is commutative if and only if $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \quad \forall a, b \in R$